

Application Serial No: 10/679,686
In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

Attorney Docket No. 83996

BEST AVAILABLE COPY* AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS* CLAIMS - 1E 1014S

1. (currently amended) A two-stage method for characterizing a spatial arrangement among data points for each of a plurality of three-dimensional time series distributions comprising a sparse number of said data points, said method comprising the steps of:

creating a first virtual volume containing a first three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points to be characterized;

subdividing said first virtual volume into a plurality k of three-dimensional volumes, each of said plurality k of three-dimensional volumes having the same shape and size;

providing a first stage characterization of said spatial arrangement of said first three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points comprising the steps of[[;]]:

determining a statistically expected proportion Θ of said plurality k of three-dimensional volumes containing at least one of said data points for a

Application Serial No: 10/679,686
 In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

Attorney Docket No. 83996

random distribution of said data points such that $k * \Theta$ is a statistically expected number $[[M]]$ of said plurality k of three-dimensional volumes which contain at least one of said data points if said first three-dimensional time series distribution is characterized as random;

counting a number m of said plurality k of three-dimensional volumes which actually contain at least one of said data points in said first three-dimensional time series distribution, wherein M is the symbolic alphabetical character assigned to be the parameter representing $k * \Theta$ in mathematical statements and m is a representation of M in a given spatial arrangement undergoing processing in accordance with the method;

statistically determining an upper random boundary m_2 greater than M and a lower random ~~barrier~~ boundary m_1 less than M such that if said number m is between said upper random ~~barrier~~ boundary and said lower random barrier then said first three-

Application Serial No: 10/679,686
In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

Attorney Docket No. 83996

dimensional time series distribution is characterized as random in structure during said first stage characterization;

providing a second stage characterization of said first three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points comprising the steps of[[;]]:

when Θ is less than a pre-selected value, then utilizing a Poisson distribution to determine a first mean of said data points;

when Θ is greater than said pre-selected value, then utilizing a binomial distribution to determine a second mean of said data points;

computing a probability p from said first mean or from said second mean depending on whether Θ is greater than or less than said pre-selected value;

determining a false alarm probability α based on a total number of said plurality k of three-

Application Serial No: 10/679,686
In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

Attorney Docket No. 83996

dimensional volumes for said first three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points to be characterized;

comparing p with α to determine whether to
characterize said sparse number of said data points as noise or signal during said second stage characterization; and

comparing said first stage characterization of said first three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points with said second stage characterization of said first three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points to determine presence of randomness in said first three-dimensional time series distributions distribution.

2. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, wherein if said first stage characterization of said first three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points indicates a random distribution and said second stage characterization of said first three-dimensional time series

Application Serial No: 10/679,686

Attorney Docket No. 83996

In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

distribution of said data points indicates a signal, then ~~it~~
~~continuing~~ continue to process said data points.

3. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1,
wherein if said first stage characterization of said first
three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points
indicates a random distribution and said second stage
characterization of said first three-dimensional time series
distribution of said data points indicates a random
distribution, then labeling said first three-dimensional time
series distribution of said data points as random.

4. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, further
comprising utilizing the method steps of claim 1 for
characterizing each of said plurality of three-dimensional time
series ~~distribution~~ distributions of said data points.

5. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1,
wherein said first three-dimensional time series distribution of
said data points comprises less than about twenty-five (25) data
points.

Application Serial No: 10/679,686
In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

Attorney Docket No. 83996
... ..

6. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, wherein said upper random boundary greater than M and said lower random barrier less than M are computed utilizing binomial probabilities.

7. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, further comprising obtaining each of said plurality of three-dimensional time series distributions comprising said sparse number of said data points from a sonar system.

8. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, further comprising obtaining each of said plurality of three-dimensional time series distributions comprising said sparse number of said data points from a radar system.

9. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, further comprising determining said false alarm probability α based on a total number of said plurality k of three-dimensional volumes for said first three-dimensional time series distribution of said data points to be characterized wherein:

$$\alpha = 0.01 \text{ if } k \geq 25, \text{ and}$$
$$\alpha = 0.05 \text{ if } k < 25.$$

Application Serial No: 10/679,686
In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

Attorney Docket No. 83996

10. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, wherein said step of comparing p with α to determine whether to characterize said sparse number of said data points as noise or signal during said first stage characterization is mathematically stated as:

*if $p \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \text{NOISE}$, and
if $p < \alpha \Rightarrow \text{SIGNAL}$.*

11. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, wherein said pre-selected value is equal to 0.10 such that if $\Theta \leq 0.10$, then said Poisson distribution is utilized, and if $\Theta > 0.10$, then said binomial distribution is utilized.

12. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 1, wherein a total number Y of said data points is given by

$$Y = \sum_{k=0}^K kN_k, \text{ where:}$$

Application Serial No: 10/679,686
 In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

Attorney Docket No. 83996

k (number of cells with points)	N_k (number of points in k cells)
0	N_0
1	N_1
2	N_2
3	N_3
\vdots	\vdots
\underline{K}	N_k

13. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim 12,
 wherein said step of computing said probability p from said
 first mean further comprises utilizing the following equation:

$$[[p = P(|z_p| \leq Z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-z_p}^{+z_p} \exp(-.5x^2) dx]]$$

$$p = P(|z_p| \leq Z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-z_p}^{+z_p} \exp(-.5x^2) dx$$

$$\text{where } [[Z_p = \frac{Y - N\mu_0}{\sqrt{N\mu_0}}]]$$

$$Z_p = \frac{Y - N\mu_0}{\sqrt{N\mu_0}}$$

Application Serial No: 10/679,686

Attorney Docket No. 83996

In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

where P refers to probability,where Z is the theoretical Gaussian continuous probability distribution,where X is the "dummy variable" of integration in the integrand,

where Y is said total number of data points,

where, N is a sample size of said data points for each of a plurality of three-dimensional time series distributions, and

$$[[\mu_0 = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^K kN_k}{\sum_{k=0}^K N_k}]] \quad \underline{\mu_0 = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^K kN_k}{\sum_{k=0}^K N_k}} \text{ is said first mean.}$$

14. (currently amended) [[A]] The two-stage method according to claim 13, wherein said step of computing said probability p from said second mean further comprises utilizing the following equation:

$$[[p = P(|z_B| \leq Z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-|z_B|}^{+|z_B|} \exp(-.5x^2) dx]]$$

$$\underline{p = P(|z_B| \leq Z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-|z_B|}^{+|z_B|} \exp(-.5x^2) dx}$$

Application Serial No: 10/679,686

Attorney Docket No. 83996

In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

$$\text{where } \left[\left[Z_B = \frac{m \pm c - k_6}{\sqrt{k\theta(1-\theta)}} \right] \right] \quad Z_B = \frac{m \pm c - k_6}{\sqrt{k\theta(1-\theta)}}$$

where c is a correction factor.

15. (currently amended) The two-stage method of claim [[1]] 12, wherein said plurality k of three-dimensional volumes into which said first virtual volume is subdivided is determined from the relation

$$\left[\left[k = \begin{cases} k_I \text{ if } K_I > K_{II} \\ k_{II} \text{ if } K_I < K_{II} \\ \max(k_I, k_{II}) \text{ if } K_I = K_{II} \end{cases} \right] \right] \quad k = \begin{cases} k_I \text{ if } K_I > K_{II} \\ k_{II} \text{ if } K_I < K_{II} \\ \max(k_I, k_{II}) \text{ if } K_I = K_{II} \end{cases} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\left[\left[k_I = \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\delta_I} \right) * \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta Y}{\delta_I} \right) * \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta Z}{\delta_I} \right) \right] \right] \quad k_I = \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\delta_I} \right) * \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta Y}{\delta_I} \right) * \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta Z}{\delta_I} \right)$$

$$\left[\left[k_{II} = \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\delta_{II}} \right) * \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta Y}{\delta_{II}} \right) * \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta Z}{\delta_{II}} \right) \right] \right] \quad k_{II} = \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\delta_{II}} \right) * \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta Y}{\delta_{II}} \right) * \text{int} \left(\frac{\Delta Z}{\delta_{II}} \right)$$

$$\left[\left[\delta_I = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\Delta t * \Delta Y * \Delta Z}{k_0}} \right] \right] \quad \delta_I = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\Delta t * \Delta Y * \Delta Z}{k_0}}$$

$$\left[\left[k_0 = \begin{cases} k_1 \text{ if } |N - k_1| \leq |N - k_2| \\ k_2 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \right] \right] \quad k_0 = \begin{cases} k_1 \text{ if } |N - k_1| \leq |N - k_2| \\ k_2 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Application Serial No: 10/679,686

Attorney Docket No. 83996

In reply to Office Action of 21 January 2005

$$[[k_1 = \left[\text{int} \left(N^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \right]^3,]]$$

$$k_1 = \left[\text{int} \left(N^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \right]^3$$

$$[[k_2 = \left[\text{int} \left(N^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) + 1 \right]^3,]]$$

$$k_2 = \left[\text{int} \left(N^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) + 1 \right]^3$$

$$[[\delta_{ii} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\Delta t * \Delta Y * \Delta Z}{N}},]]$$

$$\delta_{ii} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\Delta t * \Delta Y * \Delta Z}{N}}$$

$$[[K_i = \frac{k_i}{\Delta t * \Delta Y * \Delta Z} \delta_i^3 \leq 1,]]$$

$$K_i = \frac{k_i}{\Delta t * \Delta Y * \Delta Z} \delta_i^3 \leq 1$$

$$[[K_{ii} = \frac{k_{ii}}{\Delta t * \Delta Y * \Delta Z} \delta_{ii}^3 \leq 1,]]$$

$$K_{ii} = \frac{k_{ii}}{\Delta t * \Delta Y * \Delta Z} \delta_{ii}^3 \leq 1$$

N is the Maximum number of data points in the distribution,

Δt is time interval for collecting each of said plurality of three-dimensional time series distributions,

$\Delta Y = \max(Y) - \min(Y)$ where Y is a magnitude of a first measure of said data points between a maximum and minimum value, and a second measure referred to as Z with magnitude

$\Delta Z = \max(Z) - \min(Z)$ where Z is a magnitude of a second measure of said data points between a maximum and minimum value, and

int is the integer operator.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.